

Member Submitted Questions:

1) Can the questions/concerns asked so far be shared with answers to all members

A) The previous submitted questions have been answered in the updated DNL presentation.

2) Can the next Meeting be recorded so members who can't attend be able to watch from home or the next day.

A) We are currently not setup to broadcast this meeting, however, Langton minor hockey is going to attempt to broadcast this townhall if there is sufficient wifi capabilities through facebook live.

3) Why on the Langton Minor Hockey website their FAQ say amalgamation in some questions and partnership in others?

A) This was a data input error, There are only 2 options according to the OMHA: Reorganization and Amalgamation.

4) Last year during the concerned residents of Delhi meeting before voting we were all advised and shown how successful DMHA was, what has changed that requires this discussion again this year?

A) Nothing has changed and Delhi is still a successful organization. This option for amalgamation with Norwich and Langton was initially investigated by the previous executive 3 years ago- this is not new. This particular amalgamation option does help Setup Delhi for future growth with reference to ice availability, and helps support Hockey Canada's Ideology of streamlining like skilled groupings across all levels of hockey.

5) Why after last years vote to turn down a partnership with Norfolk we are asking members again this year?

A) This option for amalgamation has been an option for 3 years since it was brought forward to the previous executive board. Last years board chose to bring Norfolk's MOU option to it's members and was voted down. This is our next option and this board chose to provide a survey to gauge interest, and allow the membership to vote on this option. When comparing Norfolk's MOU and this DNL Amalgamation there are many differences. One of the differences relate to OMHA classification ie. Reorganization vs Amalgamation. Other differences are: membership cost, ice availability, board structure, membership voting rights, available caliber teams to name a few. Our rep teams will continue to play out of Southern Counties with this option.

6) In the presentation shared from the last meeting session it shows that we need more ice time and 2 teams were not filled. One part to this one is why are we not having a LL team before a Select/MD or Rep team? 2nd part to this is if we are so successful with our registrations if we didn't take so many other association players maybe we wouldn't need to the additional ice times because we would have enough with our own healthy Delhi population.

A)

- 1) ***Question requires further explanation** Clarification is being sought before answering.*
- 2) *The current executive has taken the stance of providing youth minor hockey players a place to play local hockey.*

7) Why are we not looking at a trial instead of a full amalgamation?

A) With a trial (aka Shared Boundary agreement) the executive felt that the membership should get to vote on the path forward, with a shared boundary agreement, the executive would vote to pass this agreement. In an Amalgamation agreement, this decision is passed onto the membership to vote on. There is an out clause provided in the amalgamation agreement, which if the criteria is met, the organization would revert back.

8) Why are we not looking at a 3rd Party consultant to investigate all opportunities for DMHA so that members are not presented all options at once to be able to accurately vote on what's best for DMHA if a partnership/amalgamation is needed in the future.

A) The previous and current executive have communicated with all shared boundary associations and discussed options, this year we added a survey to gauge interest and are following up on the results of that survey.

9) Who will be allowed to vote at the upcoming vote?

A) This will be posted on the Delhi Rockets webpage.

10) There is no mention of Hockey Moms in partnership of an amalgamation? WHY? It has seemed over the years there has been on and off collaboration between the executives and Delhi Hockey Moms which is sincerely sad because we're all working towards the common goal of the best for our kids.

A) Hockey parent groups are encouraged to continue their amazing efforts as they see fit to operate their associations/corporations.

11) We have built Partnerships with our Delhi Youth Sports Committee to continue to fundraise for DMHA/Delhi Hockey Moms what will happen with this partnership as amalgamation with the other two centers it won't be just Delhi Sports.

A) The DYS Committee is currently under review as they are a separate entity and not associated with DDMHA. Again, we encourage these committees to continue supporting the youth in the community.

12) With any bylaw that pertains to this amalgamation will there be an executive that has equal opportunity for men and woman on the executive, or will it be structured as the old boys club as some call it?

A) Our executive roles are voted in annually using a democratic model. We are a volunteer organization and encourage everyone to attend our annual meeting and volunteer to help with the executive roles and operations.